STAT537: Statistics for Research I: HW#10

Due on Nov. 10, 2016

 $Dr.\ Schmidhammer\ TR\ 11:10am\ -\ 12:25pm$

Wenqiang Feng

STAT537: Statis	tics for	Research	Ι
-----------------	----------	----------	---

	٦.					
l	c	m	T. C	er	T,	S

Problem 1	3
Appendix	8
R code for HW#10	S

Problem 1

Ott Exercise 12.45abcd.

Solution. (a) Compute the odds ratio for receiving the death penalty for each of the aggravation levels of the crime. Since the formula of the odds ratio is as follows:

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)}$$
. (1)

Therefore, the odds ratio for receiving the death penalty for each of the aggravation levels can be calculate as follows:

• Aggravation level 1:

$$p_1 = \frac{2}{62}, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{182}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = 6.033333$$
. (2)

• Aggravation level 2:

$$p_1 = \frac{2}{17}, \quad p_2 = \frac{1}{22}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = 2.8.$$
 (3)

• Aggravation level 3:

$$p_1 = \frac{6}{13}, \quad p_2 = \frac{2}{11}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = 3.857143.$$
 (4)

• Aggravation level 4:

$$p_1 = \frac{9}{12}, \quad p_2 = \frac{2}{6}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = 6.$$
 (5)

• Aggravation level 5:

$$p_1 = \frac{9}{9}, \quad p_2 = \frac{4}{7}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = \infty$$
. (6)

HW#10

• Aggravation level 6:

$$p_1 = \frac{17}{17}, \quad p_2 = \frac{4}{4}$$

Odds ration =
$$\frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)} = \infty.$$
 (7)

(b) Use a software package to fit the logistic regression model for the variables: According to the fitted results, the model can be formulated as

$$\log(y) = -4.8653 + 1.5397 \cdot \text{Aggravation} - 1.8106 \cdot \text{Race}.$$

The fitted results are as follows:

```
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation + Race, family = binomial(logit),
    data = data
Deviance Residuals:
                      Median
                                             Max
-0.93570 -0.22548
                     0.05142
                               0.65620
                                         1.01444
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                -8.103 5.37e-16 ***
(Intercept)
             -4.8653
                         0.6004
Aggravation
              1.5397
                         0.1867
                                  8.246 < 2e-16 ***
Race1
             -1.8106
                         0.5361 -3.377 0.000732 ***
Signif. codes: 0 ?***? 0.001 ?**? 0.01 ?*? 0.05 ?.? 0.1 ? ? 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
                                   degrees of freedom
    Null deviance: 212.2838 on 11
Residual deviance:
                     3.8816
                             on
                                9
                                    degrees of freedom
AIC: 31.747
```

(c) Is there an association between the severity of the crime and the probability of receiving the death penalty? From the following fitted model and ANOVA test, we get the difference D = 212.284 - 16.685 = 195.6 with degree of freedom 1, hence the p-value < 0.05. Hence we reject H_0 which is the coefficient of Aggravation is 0. Therefore, we may conclude that there is an significant association between the severity of the crime and the probability of receiving the death penalty. More specifically

$$\log(y) = -5.7102 + 1.5628 \cdot \text{Aggravation}.$$

• fitted model with Aggravation as variable:

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

```
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family = binomial(logit),
    data = data)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min
             1Q
                  Median
                               3Q
                                       Max
-2.1621 -0.8024
                  0.5766
                           0.9270
                                    1.5198
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -5.7102
                        0.5685 - 10.044
                                         <2e-16 ***
Aggravation 1.5628
                        0.1757
                                 8.894
                                         <2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 212.284 on 11 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 16.685 on 10 degrees of freedom
AIC: 42.55
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
```

• ANOVA test

```
Analysis of Deviance Table

Model: binomial, link: logit

Response: cbind(Yes, No)

Terms added sequentially (first to last)

Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)

NULL

11 212.284

Aggravation 1 195.6 10 16.685 < 2.2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

(d) Is the association between the severity of the crime and the probability of receiving the death penalty different for the two races? From the fitted model for White and Black victims separately, we get

```
For White victim: \log(y) = -5.2531 + 1.6811 \cdot \text{Aggravation}.
For Black victim: \log(y) = -6.2319 + 1.4067 \cdot \text{Aggravation}.
```

Moreover, from the ANOVA test we have

- Since the p-value are less than 0.05, hence both of the races are significant impact on the probability of receiving the death penalty.
- One unit increase in severity of the crime will lead to 1.6811 percentage increases in the probability of receiving the death penalty for the White victims and one unit increase in severity of the crime will lead to 1.4067 percentage increases in the probability of receiving the death penalty for the Black victims.
- The Race Black has 0.2744 percentage lower impact on the probability of receiving the death penalty.

(i). For White:

• The fitted model for White victim is as follows:

```
glm(formula = cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family = binomial(logit),
    data = dataWhite)
Deviance Residuals:
     1
                       5
                                                 11
0.2315 -0.1673
                  0.1000 - 0.5411
                                    0.8681
                                             0.5192
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                        0.8480 -6.194 5.85e-10 ***
(Intercept) -5.2531
                                 5.916 3.30e-09 ***
Aggravation
            1.6811
                        0.2842
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 106.2819
                            on 5 degrees of freedom
                    1.4074
                            on 4 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance:
AIC: 16.236
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

• ANOVA test

Terms added sequentially (first to last)

```
Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)

NULL 5 106.282

Aggravation 1 104.87 4 1.407 < 2.2e-16 ***
---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
```

(ii). For Black:

• The fitted model for Black victim is as follows:

```
Call:
glm(formula = cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family = binomial(logit),
```

```
data = dataBlack)
Deviance Residuals:
                                       10
                                                12
         0.3456
                0.6146 -0.1022 -0.6614
-0.3966
                                            0.9132
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                       0.9015 -6.913 4.75e-12 ***
           -6.2319
(Intercept)
                                 5.705 1.17e-08 ***
Aggravation
             1.4067
                        0.2466
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 57.5843 on 5 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 1.9364 on 4
                                degrees of freedom
AIC: 16.973
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

• ANOVA test

Terms added sequentially (first to last)

```
Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)

NULL 5 57.584

Aggravation 1 55.648 4 1.936 8.669e-14 ***
---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 '' 1
```

- (e) Compute the probability of receiving the death penalty for an aggravation level of 3 separately for a white and then for a black victim. Place 95% confidence intervals on the two probabilities.
 - For White: The estimated probability for Black victim is 0.4615385, and the 95% confidence intervals is [0.2040175, 0.7387967].

```
> prop.test(6,6+7, alternative="two.sided",conf.level = 0.95)

1-sample proportions test with continuity correction

data: 6 out of 6 + 7, null probability 0.5

X-squared = 0, df = 1, p-value = 1
alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5

95 percent confidence interval:
    0.2040175 0.7387967
sample estimates:
    p
```

0.4615385

• For Black: The estimated probability for Black victim is 0.1818182, and the 95% confidence intervals is [0.03213862, 0.52245041].

```
> prop.test(2,2+9, alternative="two.sided",conf.level = 0.95)

1-sample proportions test with continuity correction

data: 2 out of 2 + 9, null probability 0.5

X-squared = 3.2727, df = 1, p-value = 0.07044

alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5

95 percent confidence interval:
    0.03213862 0.52245041

sample estimates:
    p

0.1818182
```

Appendix

R code for HW#10

Listing 1: Source code for problem 1

```
# reference: http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~martin/W2024/R3.pdf
rm(list = ls())
 # set the path or enverionment
setwd("/home/feng/Dropbox/UTK_Course/Stat537/Excel/CH12")
oddratio<-function (p1, p2) {
  oddr = (p1/(1-p1))/(p2/(1-p2))
  return (oddr)
}
 # (a)
 # level 1
p1 = 2/62
p2 = 1/182
oddr = oddratio(p1, p2)
oddr
# level 2
p1 = 2/17
p2 = 1/22
```

```
oddr = oddratio(p1,p2)
   oddr
   # level 3
   p1 = 6/13
   p2 = 2/11
   oddr = oddratio(p1, p2)
   oddr
   # level 4
  p1 = 9/12
   p2 = 2/6
   oddr = oddratio(p1,p2)
   oddr
   # level 5
   p1 = 9/9
   p2 = 4/7
   oddr = oddratio(p1,p2)
   oddr
   # level 6
   p1 = 17/17
  p2 = 4/4
   oddr = oddratio(p1,p2)
   oddr
  # (b)
   #method 1
   #install.packages("readxl") # CRAN version
   library (readxl)
   #install.packages("moments")
  library (moments)
   rawdata = read_excel("ex12-45.xls", sheet = 1)
   attach (rawdata)
   rawdata[rawdata=='Yes'] <- 1.0</pre>
   rawdata[rawdata=='No'] <- 0.0</pre>
   rawdata[rawdata=='Black'] <- 1</pre>
   rawdata[rawdata=='White'] <- 0</pre>
   rawdata$Race =as.factor(rawdata$Race)
rawdata$DeathPenalty =as.factor(rawdata$DeathPenalty)
   #rawdata$AggLevel =factor(rawdata$AggLevel)
   dvp=glm(DeathPenalty ~ AggLevel+Race, binomial(link = "logit"),data=rawdata);
   summary (dvp)
   exp(dvp$fitted.values)
   predict(dvp, rawdata, type="response")
```

```
## method 2
   library (readxl)
   data <- read_excel("hw10.xls", sheet = 1)</pre>
   attach (data)
   # (b)
    #Race =as.factor(Race)
   #Race =relevel(Race, ref="White")
   data[data=='Black'] <- 1</pre>
   data[data=='White'] <- 0
   fit1 = glm(cbind(Yes,No) ~ Aggravation+Race, family=binomial(logit), data=data)
   summary(fit1)
   # (C)
   fit2 = glm(cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family=binomial(logit), data=data)
   summary(fit2)
   anova(fit2, test="Chisq")
   # (d)
   data <- read_excel("hw10.xls", sheet = 1)</pre>
   attach (data)
   dataWhite = data[Race=="White", ]
   dataBlack = data[Race=="Black", ]
100
   fit3 = glm(cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family=binomial(logit), data=dataWhite)
   summary(fit3)
   anova(fit3,test="Chisq")
   fit4 = glm(cbind(Yes, No) ~ Aggravation, family=binomial(logit), data=dataBlack)
   summary(fit4)
   anova(fit4,test="Chisq")
   # (e)
prop.test(6,6+7, alternative="two.sided",conf.level = 0.95)
   prop.test(2,2+9, alternative="two.sided",conf.level = 0.95)
```